

THE THERMOLUMINESCENCE METHOD AND METEORITE ORBITS

Ivliev A.I., Kuyunko N.S. (GEOKHI RAS)

cosmo@geokhi.ru; phone: 8(499)137-86-14

Key words: *thermoluminescence, meteorite, ordinary chondrites, orbit*

Introduction

The only way to obtain an accurate orbit is to simultaneously photograph the trajectory of the fire-ball from two or more widely separated locations. Several photographic networks [1] have been established to do just this. After almost 40 network-years of operation, however, these efforts have led to the recovery of only four meteorites: Pribram [2], Lost City [3], Innisfree [1] and Peekskill [4]. Orbital parameters have been estimated for another 40 meteorites from eyewitness accounts of their fall [5,6] but these are of low accuracy. Limited orbital information can also be obtained from the local time of fall [7]. Meteorites with perihelia $q \sim 1$ astronomical unit (AU) should usually strike the trailing side of the Earth, or the local PM, while meteorites with perihelia $q < 1$ AU should be more evenly spread over the leading and trailing sides. The large abundance of ordinary chondrites falling in the local PM relative to AM is interpreted as indicating that most of these meteorites had perihelia of ~ 1 AU.

Periodic changes of the perihelion of chondrites during their cosmic-ray exposure history (up to $\sim 10^7$ years) may stipulate diffusion losses of gases at $q \leq 0.2$ AU (temperatures $T \geq 400^\circ\text{C}$) and reaccumulation of natural thermoluminescence at $q \sim 1$ AU during the last of $\sim 10^5$ years before capture by the Earth.

Thermoluminescence (TL) is an extremely useful technique for studying the metamorphism and recent histories of meteorite [8]. The induced TL of meteorites is predominantly controlled by the type and abundance of feldspar present, while the level of natural TL (TL of the sample "as received") is determined by the thermal and radiation history of the sample. In this study we use the natural TL of individual meteorites to estimate their closest approach to the Sun. Natural TL is energy stored in crystals of certain minerals by ionizing radiation, such as high-energy galactic cosmic rays [9,10]. This energy can be released in the form of visible light by heating. The experimental procedures have been described in more detail earlier [11-13].

Perihelion of the orbits

During occurrence in orbit, natural TL is accumulated in meteorite owing mainly to cosmic ray radiation. The natural TL level of a meteorite is initially very low because of shielding from cosmic radiation by its parent body. After the impact, which actually produces the meteorite-sized body (meter-diameter or less), natural TL levels build up relatively rapidly, reaching a state of "equilibrium" within about 10^5 years [8]. The level of natural TL, at least in the lower temperature and less thermally stable portion of the glow curve, is then in a state of dynamic equilibrium, varying slightly during each orbit as the TL drains slightly at the higher temperatures of perihelion which it regains at aphelion. The level of TL in the higher temperature and more thermally stable part of the glow curve is, however, relatively unaffected by orbital temperature variations and may reach saturation level at exposition by the cosmic rays for a sufficiently long period of time. The average level of low-temperature equilibrium TL can vary over the time span of 10^6 - 10^7 years if the meteoroid experiences changes in its long-term orbital parameter. After fall on the Earth, the natural TL levels gradually decrease to lower values as a result of the higher terrestrial temperatures and lower dose accumulation rates [14-16]. TL levels are also lowered by heating during atmospheric entry, but this only affects a thin (< 5 mm in thickness) outer rim of the meteorite.

The TL levels are within 200-1800 Gr (at $T \sim 250^\circ\text{C}$ on the glow curve) in the most ordinary chondrites with known fall dates [17-19]. Calculation of the value of the equivalent dose of natural TL in ordinary chondrites allows us to suggest that the intensity of TL is a sensitive indicator of their degree of heating by Sun at passing the perihelion. In fact, at the lower the perihelion, we will have the higher the heating and the lower the equilibrium TL. Chondrites having orbits with the perihelion $q < 0.85$ AU must show very low levels of natural TL (< 50 Gr at $T \sim 250^\circ\text{C}$ on the glow curve), whereas those with $q > 0.85$ AU must show wide ranges of natural TL values (> 50 Gr) with a considerable scatter related to the variations in the rate of dose accumulation (at a varying degree of shielding and albedo) [17]. However, comparison of the thermal and radiation histories of meteorites solely on the basis of natural TL is hampered by considerable variations in the sensitivity of TL accumulation in different meteorites. Thus, it appears reasonable to normalize the intensity of natural TL in each sample to its sensitiv-

ity through the measurement of the TL value per unit dose induced by a radioactive source. The ratio known as equivalent dose (ED) is determined for each temperature value of the glow curve using the formula:

$$ED = D (TL_{\text{nat}}/TL_{\text{ind}}),$$

where TL_{nat} and TL_{ind} are the natural and induced TL, respectively, and D is the dose of laboratory radiation (Gr). Using such an approach, Melcher [20] estimated the perihelia of 45 meteorites. However, investigations suggest that it is more reasonable to calculate ED for two temperature intervals on the glow curves; ED_{LT} at $T \sim 100\text{-}240^\circ\text{C}$ and ED_{HT} at $T \sim 240\text{-}340^\circ\text{C}$. This allows us to reduce the error of ED estimate to $\leq 15\%$ and estimate more accurately the perihelion value.

Comparative measurements of natural TL and TL, induced by γ -radiation, and calculations of ED_{LT} and ED_{HT} using a special program were carried out for 16 chondrite samples (See Table). Some of these chondrites were studied in [20], including the Pribram chondrite with a known orbit. The ED values of Pribram correspond to its perihelion ($q=0.8$ AU) and coincide with the results of ED measurements reported in [20, 21]. For the majority of chondrites, including Bjurböle L/LL4, Chainpur LL3.4, Dalgety Downs L4, Dhajala H3.8, Gorlovka H3.7, Grady H3.7, Elenovka L5, Khohar L3.6, Kunashak L6, Kunya-Urgench H5, Kyushu L6, Mezo Madaras L3.7, Nikol'skoe L4/5, Ochansk H4, Pervomaisky L6, Pultusk H5, Rakity L3.6, and Saratov L4, the perihelia of orbits (q) are within $\sim 0.5\text{-}0.8$ AU. Lower perihelia were determined only for the L5 chondrites Malakal ($q \sim 0.5\text{-}0.6$ AU), which is consistent with [20], and Dimmit H3.7 ($q \sim 0.6\text{-}0.8$ AU). A value of $q \sim 1$ AU was obtained for the Kunya-Urgench orbit, which agrees with the perihelion estimate from the radiant of the chondrite fall [22].

Table

Equivalent doses (Gr) for meteorites

Meteorite	Type	ED_{LT}	ED_{HT}
Bjurböle	L/LL4	380 ± 50	1560 ± 40
Dalgety Downs	L4	2.3 ± 0.2	300 ± 56
Dhajala	H3.8	17 ± 01	210 ± 10
Elenovka	L5	39 ± 3	230 ± 20
Grady	H3.7	14 ± 4	800 ± 110
Khohar	L3.6	12.1 ± 1.6	513 ± 14
Kunashak	L6	166 ± 20	432 ± 70
Kunya-Urgench	L5	112 ± 10	1000 ± 80
Kyushu	L6	300 ± 56	1810 ± 110
Malakal	L6	12 ± 1	24 ± 10
Nikol'skoe	L4/5	161 ± 7	1590 ± 150
Ochansk	H4	107 ± 11	1050 ± 100
Pervomaisky	L6	201 ± 19	710 ± 120
Pribram	H5	114 ± 15	1270 ± 120
Pultusk	H5	46 ± 9	810 ± 150
Saratov	L4	171 ± 11	560 ± 50

References

1. Halliday I., Blackwell A.T., Griffin A.A. // J. Astron. Soc. Can. 1978. 7. P. 15-39.
2. Ceplecha Z. // Bull. Astron. Czech. 1961. 12. P. 21-47.
3. McCrosky R.E., et al. // J. Geophys. Res. 1971. 76. P. 4090-4108.
4. Brown P. et al. // Nature. 1994. 367. P. 624-626.
5. Simonenko A. N. Orbital Elements of 45 Meteorites. Atlas // Nauka. Moscow. 1975.
6. Wetherill G.W., Chapman C.R. Asteroids and meteorites // In: Meteorites and the Early Solar System. P. 35-67.
7. Wetherill G.W. // Science. 1968. 159. P. 79-82.
8. Sears D.W.G. // Nucl. Tracks Radial. Meas. 1988. 14. P. 5-17.
9. Aitken. M. J. Thermoluminescence Dating // Academic Press. London. 1985.

10. McKeever S.W.S. Thermoluminescence of Solids // Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge. England. 1985.
11. Ivliev A.I. et al. // Geokhimiya. 9. P. 1367-1377.
12. Ivliev A.I. et al. // Geokhimiya. 10. P. 1011-1018.
13. Ivliev A.I. et al. // Geochemistry International. 2002. 40. P. 739-750.
14. Sears D.W.G., Mills A.A. // Meteoritics. 1974. 9. P. 47-67.
15. Melcher C.L. // Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 1981. 45. P. 615-626.
16. McKeever S.W.S. // Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 1980. 58. P. 419-429.
17. Benoit P.H., Sears D.W.G., McKeever S.W.S. // Icarus. 1991. 94. P. 311-325.
18. Benoit P.H., Sears D.W.G. // Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 1993. 120. P. 463-471.
19. Benoit P.H., Sears D.W.G. // Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 31. P. 81-86.
20. Melcher, C.L. // Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 1981. 52. P. 39-54.
21. Ivliev A.I., Alexeev V.A. // Lunar and Planetary Science XXXVII. 2006. Houston. CD-ROM 1047.pdf.
22. Bronshten V.A. // Pis'ma Astron. Zh. 1999. 25. P. 153-155.

Electronic Scientific Information Journal "Vestnik Otdelenia nauk o Zemle RAN" № 1(27) 2009

ISSN 1819 – 6586

Informational Bulletin of the Annual Seminar of Experimental Mineralogy, Petrology and Geochemistry – 2009

URL: http://www.scgis.ru/russian/cp1251/h_dggms/1-2009/informbul-1_2009/planet-13e.pdf

Published on July, 1, 2009

© Vestnik Otdelenia nauk o Zemle RAN, 1997-2009

All rights reserved